UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,968	08/26/2003	Helmut Borberg	07030.0004U2	7077
23859 7590 03/27/2008 NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C. SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			WIEST, PHILIP R	
999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/649,968	BORBERG ET AL.				
merview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phil Wiest	3761				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Phil Wiest</u> .	(3) <u>Brian Giles</u> .					
(2) <u>Leslie Deak</u> .	(4) <u>Gwendolyn Spratt</u> .					
Date of Interview: <u>19 March 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 2</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Georgazde et al., Malchesky et al., Hunter</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	d. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ l	N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the 103(a) rejection of claims 1 and 2</u> . <u>Applicants argued that there is not sufficient motivation to combine the cited prior art references</u> . <u>The examiner believes that the references are combinable because they reasonably suggest the removal of proteins (specifically high molecular weight protein) from the blood to treat diabetic ailments</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims						
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Phil Wiest/					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is ar	Examiner, Art Unit 3761 Examiner's signature, if requ	ired				
Attachment to a signed Office action. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Intel	rview Summary	Paper No. 20080319				